Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Krzysztof Bolejko[1,2]

Volume averaging in the quasispherical Szekeres model

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract This paper considers volume averaging in the quasispherical Szekeres model. The volume averaging became of considerable interest after it was shown that the volume acceleration calculated within the averaging framework can be positive even when the local expansion rate decelerates. This issue was intensively studied within spherically symmetric models. However, since our Universe is not spherically symmetric similar analysis is needed in non-symmetrical models. This papers presents the averaging analysis within the quasispherical Szekeres model which is a non-symmetrical generalisation of the spherically symmetric Lemaître–Tolman family of models. In the quasispherical Szekeres model the distribution of mass over a surface of constant t and r has the form of a mass-dipole superposed on a monopole. This paper shows that when calculating the volume acceleration, $\ddot{a}$, within the Szekeres model, the dipole does not contribute to the final result, hence $\ddot{a}$ only depends on a monopole configuration. Thus, the volume averaging within the Szekeres model leads to literally the same solutions as those obtained within the Lemaître–Tolman model.

PACS 98.80-k, 95.36.+x, 98.65.Dx

arXiv:0808.0376v2 [astro-ph] 22 Nov 2008

1 Introduction

In the standard approach to cosmology it is assumed that the Universe can be described by the homogeneous Friedmann model. Within such a framework in order to correctly describe cosmological observations one needs to postulate the existence of dark energy, which in its simplest form can be represented by a cosmological constant. However, our Universe on scales up to at least

---

The University of Melbourne, Melbourne VIC 3010, Australia, and
Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Bartycka 18, 00-716 Warsaw, Poland
E-mail: bolejko@camk.edu.pl

100 Mpc is very inhomogeneous. Thus, it can evolve differently than the homogeneous model. The difference between evolution of the homogeneous model and the inhomogeneous Universe is known as a backreaction effect. The direct study of the dynamical effects of inhomogeneities is difficult since both general matter distribution and the numerical evolution of cosmological models employing the full Einstein equations are unavailable at the level of detail which would make them useful in studying this problem. Currently, therefore, one of the most popular approaches to backreaction is via averaging methods.

In the averaging approach to backreaction, one considers a solution to the Einstein equations for a general matter distribution and then an average of various observable quantities is taken. If several assumptions are introduced (see Sec. 2), the averaging leads to the Buchert equations. For a review on backreaction and the Buchert averaging scheme the reader is referred to [3, 4]. Within the Buchert averaging scheme there are a number of examples where it was shown by using spherically symmetric inhomogeneous models that one can obtain negative values of the volume deceleration parameter even if $\Lambda = 0$ [5,6,7,8,9,10].

However, we should be aware that the results of averaging can be gauge-depended [11]. Another problem regarding an application of spherical symmetric models is the problem with the age of the Universe. Within the models studied in [10] those of realistic density distribution and with $q < 0$ had very large values of the t_B function, of amplitude 10^{10} y (this means that the age of the Universe within such models is unrealistically small[1]). This feature, however, can be an artefact of assumed spherical symmetry. Therefore, it is of great importance to study averaging in non-symmetrical inhomogeneous models. One of the immediate candidates is the Szekeres model [17]. The Szekeres model is a generalisation of the Lemaitre–Tolman model that has no symmetry [20]. Within the quasispherical Szekeres model one can describe two [21] or even three structures [22]. Thus, the Szekeres model not only allows us to study how cosmic structures affect their evolution but also enables the examination of the volume acceleration of such systems which consist of several structures. This paper, therefore, addresses the subject of volume averaging in the Szekeres model.

2 Buchert equations

Since the Buchert averaging scheme involves the volume average it applies to averaging of scalars only – volume averaging of tensors leads to noncovariant quantities (for a review and a detailed discussion about tensor averaging the reader is referred to [16]). The average of a scalar Ψ is then equal to

$$\langle \Psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1}{V_{\mathcal{D}}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{d}^3x \sqrt{-h}\, \Psi. \qquad (1)$$

---

[1] This, however, does not apply to the two-scale averaging approach. For details on the two-scale models see works by Räsänen [12] or Wiltshire [13,14,15].

where h is a determinant of a 3D spatial metric, $h_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} - u_\alpha u_\beta$; $\mathcal{D}$ is a domain of averaging, and V_D its volume,

$$V_{\mathcal{D}} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{d}^3 x \sqrt{-h}. \tag{2}$$

The Buchert equations are obtained if the following are assumed

- the Universe is filled with an irrotational dust only,
- the metric is of the form $ds^2 = dt^2 - g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$ (3+1 ADM space-time foliation with a constant lapse and a vanishing shift vector).

Then by averaging the Raychaudhuri equation we obtain [1]

$$3\frac{\ddot{a}_{\mathcal{D}}}{a_{\mathcal{D}}} = -4\pi \langle \rho \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} + \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}, \tag{3}$$

where a dot $(\dot{})$ denotes ∂_t; the scale factor $a_{\mathcal{D}}$ is defined as a cube root of the volume

$$a_{\mathcal{D}} = (V_{\mathcal{D}}/V_{\mathcal{D}_i})^{1/3}, \tag{4}$$

(where $V_{\mathcal{D}_i}$ is an initial volume); and the backreaction term $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}} \equiv \frac{2}{3}\left(\langle \Theta^2 \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} - \langle \Theta \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}^2\right) - 2\langle \sigma^2 \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}, \tag{5}$$

where Θ is the scalar of expansion and σ is the shear scalar. Averaging of the Hamiltonian constraint leads to [1]

$$3\frac{\dot{a}_{\mathcal{D}}^2}{a_{\mathcal{D}}^2} = 8\pi \langle \rho \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}, \tag{6}$$

where $\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$ is an average of the spatial Ricci scalar ${}^{(3)}\mathcal{R}$. The above is compatible with (3) if the integrability condition holds

$$\frac{1}{a_{\mathcal{D}}^6}\partial_t\left(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}} a_{\mathcal{D}}^6\right) + \frac{1}{a_{\mathcal{D}}^2}\partial_t\left(\langle R \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} a_{\mathcal{D}}^2\right) = 0. \tag{7}$$

Equations (3) and (6) are very similar to the Friedmann equations, where $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}} = 0$, and ρ and $\mathcal{R}$ depend on time only. In fact, it can be shown that they are kinematically equivalent to Friedmann equations with a scalar field [2].

Using (3) and (6) we can calculate the deceleration parameter

$$q \equiv -\frac{\ddot{a}_{\mathcal{D}} a_{\mathcal{D}}}{\dot{a}_{\mathcal{D}}^2} = -\frac{-4\pi G \langle \rho \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} + \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}}{8\pi G \langle \rho \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}}. \tag{8}$$

In the standard approach to cosmology where Friedmann models are employed the case of $q < 0$ implies that $\Lambda > 0$. However, as it was shown within inhomogeneous but isotropic models [5,6,7,8,9,10] we can have $q < 0$ even if $\Lambda = 0$. This suggest that the apparent acceleration of the Universe might be explained not by invoking dark energy but by taking into account matter inhomogeneities. In Sec. 4 we will examine this issue by employing the non-symmetrical Szekeres model.

3 The Szekeres model

The metric of the Szekeres model is of the following form [17]

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}t^2 - X^2\mathrm{d}r^2 - Y^2(\mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}y^2). \tag{9}$$

For our purpose it is more convenient to adopt a pair of complex conjugate coordinates

$$\zeta = x + iy, \quad \bar{\zeta} = x - iy, \tag{10}$$

so that the metric becomes

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}t^2 - X^2\mathrm{d}r^2 - Y^2\mathrm{d}\zeta\mathrm{d}\bar{\zeta}. \tag{11}$$

where

$$X = \frac{\mathcal{E}(r,\zeta,\bar{\zeta})Y'(t,r,\zeta,\bar{\zeta})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon - k(r)}}, \quad Y = \frac{\Phi(t,r)}{\mathcal{E}(r,\zeta,\bar{\zeta})},$$

and

$$\mathcal{E} = a(r)\zeta\bar{\zeta} + b(r)\zeta + c(r)\bar{\zeta} + d(r), \quad \varepsilon = 0, \pm 1.$$

Here a prime (') denotes ∂_r.

The case where $\varepsilon = -1$ is often called the quasihyperbolic Szekeres model (for a detailed discussion on the quasihyperbolic Szekeres models see [23]), $\varepsilon = 0$ quasiplane (for details see [23,24]), and $\varepsilon = 1$ quasispherical (for details see [25]). Although it is possible to have within one model quasispherical and quasihyperbolic regions separated by the quasiplane region [23], only the quasispherical case will be considered here. This is because the averaging within the quasihyperbolic and quasiplane requires a special treatment. Firstly, an area of a surface of constant t and r in the quasihyperbolic and quasiplane models is infinite. Secondly, there is no origin – in the quasihyperbolic model r cannot be equal to 0, and in the quasiplane r can only asymptotically approach the origin, $r \to 0$ [23].

In the quasispherical Szekeres model a surface of constant t and r is a sphere of radius $\Phi(r,t)$ [17]. Thus, the quasispherical Szekeres model is a generalisation of the Lemaître–Tolman model [18,19]. Within the Szekeres model shells of matter, however, are not concentric. The quasispherical Szekeres model becomes the Lemaître–Tolman (LT) model when $\mathcal{E}' = 0$. In this case

$$X = \frac{\Phi'}{\sqrt{1-k(r)}}, \quad Y = \frac{\Phi}{\mathcal{E}}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta\mathrm{d}\bar{\zeta}}{\mathcal{E}^2} = \mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\mathrm{d}\phi^2.$$

Originally, Szekeres considered only a case of $p = 0 = \Lambda$. This result was generalised by Szafron [26] to the case of uniform pressure, $p = p(t)$. A special case of this solution, the cosmological constant, was discussed in detail by Barrow and Stein-Schabes [27]. In the case of $p = 0 = \Lambda$, the Einstein equations reduce to

$$\dot{\Phi}^2 = \frac{2M}{\Phi} - k, \tag{12}$$

$$4\pi\rho = \frac{M' - 3M\mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E}}{\Phi^2(\Phi' - \Phi\mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E})}, \tag{13}$$

where $M(r)$ is another arbitrary function. In a Newtonian limit M is equal to the mass inside the shell of radial coordinate r. Although the ρ function, as seen from (13), is a function of all coordinates, it can be shown that the distribution of mass over each single sphere of constant t and r has the structure of a mass dipole superposed on a monopole, $\rho(t,r,\zeta,\bar{\zeta}) = \rho_{mon}(t,r) + \rho_{dip}(t,r,\zeta,\bar{\zeta})$ [28,29,30]. In general case, the orientation of the dipole axis is different on every constant-(t,r) sphere.

The dipole contribution vanishes when $\mathcal{E}' = 0$ and then the Szekeres model reduces to the LT model.

The scalar of expansion is equal to

$$\Theta = u^{\alpha}{}_{;\alpha} = \frac{\dot{\Phi}' + 2\dot{\Phi}\Phi'/\Phi - 3\dot{\Phi}\mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E}}{\Phi' - \Phi\mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E}}. \tag{14}$$

The scalar of shear is

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\dot{\Phi}' - \dot{\Phi}\Phi'/\Phi}{\Phi' - \Phi\mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E}}\right)^2. \tag{15}$$

The spatial Ricci scalar ${}^{(3)}\mathcal{R}$ is equal to

$${}^{(3)}\mathcal{R} = 2\frac{k}{\Phi^2}\left(\frac{\Phi k'/k - 2\Phi\mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E}}{\Phi' - \Phi\mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E}} + 1\right). \tag{16}$$

In the LT limit these scalars reduce to

$$\Theta = \frac{\dot{\Phi}'}{\Phi'} + 2\frac{\dot{\Phi}}{\Phi}, \quad \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\dot{\Phi}'}{\Phi'} - \frac{\dot{\Phi}}{\Phi}\right)^2, \quad {}^{(3)}\mathcal{R} = 2\frac{(\Phi k)'}{\Phi^2\Phi'}. \tag{17}$$

4 Averaging in the quasispherical Szekeres model

This section considers the volume averaging within the quasispherical Szekeres model. The volume is calculated around the observer located at the origin. It will be shown that the dipole configuration does not affect such quantities as $\langle\rho\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$, or $\langle\mathcal{R}\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$. These functions only depend on the monopole configuration. First let us notice that the volume in the Szekeres model is exactly the same as in the LT model (i.e. if $\mathcal{E}' = 0$). Following the method presented in [28] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\mathcal{D}} &= \int\limits_0^{r_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathrm{d}r \int\int \mathrm{d}\zeta \mathrm{d}\bar{\zeta}\; XY^2 = \int\limits_0^{r_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathrm{d}r \int\int \mathrm{d}\zeta \mathrm{d}\bar{\zeta} \frac{\Phi^2}{\sqrt{1-k}}\left(\Phi' - \Phi\frac{\mathcal{E}'}{\mathcal{E}}\right)\frac{1}{\mathcal{E}^2} \\ &= \int\limits_0^{r_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathrm{d}r \left[\frac{\Phi^2\Phi'}{\sqrt{1-k}} \int\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta \mathrm{d}\bar{\zeta}}{\mathcal{E}^2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Phi^3}{\sqrt{1-k}}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\int\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta \mathrm{d}\bar{\zeta}}{\mathcal{E}^2}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Since $\mathrm{d}\zeta\mathrm{d}\bar{\zeta}/\mathcal{E}^2$ is the metric of a unit sphere, hence

$$\int\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta\mathrm{d}\bar{\zeta}}{\mathcal{E}^2} = 4\pi.$$

Thus

$$V_{\mathcal{D}} = 4\pi \int\limits_0^{r_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathrm{d}r \frac{\Phi^2\Phi'}{\sqrt{1-k}}. \tag{19}$$

The same result is obtained if initially $\mathcal{E}'$ is set to zero. Thus, the dipole component does not contribute to total volume. As it will be shown below it also does not contribute to $\langle\rho\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\rho\rangle_{\mathcal{D}} &= \frac{1}{V_{\mathcal{D}}}\int\limits_0^{r_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathrm{d}r \int\int \mathrm{d}\zeta\mathrm{d}\bar{\zeta}\ XY^2\rho \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi V_{\mathcal{D}}}\int\limits_0^{r_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathrm{d}r \int\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta\mathrm{d}\bar{\zeta}}{\mathcal{E}^2}\frac{\Phi^2}{\sqrt{1-k}}\left(\Phi' - \Phi\frac{\mathcal{E}'}{\mathcal{E}}\right)\frac{M' - 3M\mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E}}{\Phi^2\left(\Phi' - \Phi\mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E}\right)} \\
&= \frac{1}{V_{\mathcal{D}}}\int\limits_0^{r_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathrm{d}r \frac{M'}{\sqrt{1-k}} + \frac{3}{8\pi V_{\mathcal{D}}}\int\limits_0^{r_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathrm{d}r \frac{M}{\sqrt{1-k}}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\int\int\frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta\mathrm{d}\bar{\zeta}}{\mathcal{E}^2}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{V_{\mathcal{D}}}\int\limits_0^{r_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathrm{d}r \frac{M'}{\sqrt{1-k}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The average of the scalar of the expansion is

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\Theta\rangle_{\mathcal{D}} &= \frac{1}{V_{\mathcal{D}}}\int\limits_0^{r_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathrm{d}r \int\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta\mathrm{d}\bar{\zeta}}{\mathcal{E}^2}\frac{\Phi^2}{\sqrt{1-k}}\left(\Phi' - \Phi\frac{\mathcal{E}'}{\mathcal{E}}\right)\frac{\dot{\Phi}' + 2\dot{\Phi}\Phi'/\Phi - 3\dot{\Phi}\mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E}}{\Phi' - \Phi\mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E}} \\
&= \frac{4\pi}{V_{\mathcal{D}}}\int\limits_0^{r_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathrm{d}r \frac{\Phi^2\Phi'}{\sqrt{1-k}}\left(\frac{\dot{\Phi}'}{\Phi'} + 2\frac{\dot{\Phi}}{\Phi}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

As above, $\mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E}$ does not contribute to the final result and, as seen from (17), the result is the same as in the LT model. To calculate the backreaction term $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$ we still need to find the average of the following quantity

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{3}\langle\Theta^2\rangle_{\mathcal{D}} - 2\langle\sigma^2\rangle_{\mathcal{D}} &= \frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{V_{\mathcal{D}}}\int\limits_0^{r_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathrm{d}r \int\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta\mathrm{d}\bar{\zeta}}{\mathcal{E}^2}\frac{\Phi^2}{\sqrt{1-k}}\left(\Phi' - \Phi\frac{\mathcal{E}'}{\mathcal{E}}\right) \\
&\times\frac{\left(\dot{\Phi}' + 2\dot{\Phi}\Phi'/\Phi - 3\dot{\Phi}\mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E}\right)^2 - \left(\dot{\Phi}' - \dot{\Phi}\Phi'/\Phi\right)^2}{\left(\Phi' - \Phi\mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E}\right)^2} =
\end{aligned}$$

$$
= \frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{V_{\mathcal{D}}}\int\limits_0^{r_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathrm{d}r \int\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta\mathrm{d}\bar{\zeta}}{\mathcal{E}^2}\frac{\Phi^2}{\sqrt{1-k}}\left(3\frac{\dot{\Phi}^2}{\Phi^2}\Phi' + 6\frac{\dot{\Phi}\dot{\Phi}'}{\Phi} + 3\frac{\dot{\Phi}^2}{\Phi^2}\Phi\frac{\mathcal{E}'}{\mathcal{E}} - 12\frac{\dot{\Phi}^2}{\Phi}\frac{\mathcal{E}'}{\mathcal{E}}\right)
$$

$$
= \frac{8\pi}{3}\frac{1}{V_{\mathcal{D}}}\int\limits_0^{r_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathrm{d}r \frac{\Phi^2\Phi'}{\sqrt{1-k}}\left(3\frac{\dot{\Phi}^2}{\Phi^2} + 6\frac{\dot{\Phi}\dot{\Phi}'}{\Phi\Phi'}\right). \tag{22}
$$

This result is also the same if initially $\mathcal{E}'$ is set to zero. Finally let us notice that $\langle\mathcal{R}\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$ is also the same as in the case of $\mathcal{E}' = 0$. Averaging relation (16) yields

$$
\langle\mathcal{R}\rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1}{V_{\mathcal{D}}}\int\limits_0^{r_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathrm{d}r \int\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta\mathrm{d}\bar{\zeta}}{\mathcal{E}^2}\left(\Phi' - \Phi\frac{\mathcal{E}'}{\mathcal{E}}\right)\frac{2k}{\sqrt{1-k}}\left(\frac{\Phi k'/k - 2\Phi\mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E}}{\Phi' - \Phi\mathcal{E}'/\mathcal{E}} + 1\right)
$$

$$
= \frac{8\pi}{V_{\mathcal{D}}}\int\limits_0^{r_{\mathcal{D}}} \mathrm{d}r \frac{(\Phi k)'}{\sqrt{1-k}}. \tag{23}
$$

Inserting (20)–(23) into (3) and (6) we see that the dipole configuration contributes neither to $\dot{a}_{\mathcal{D}}$ nor to $\ddot{a}_{\mathcal{D}}$. The volume acceleration, as well as the volume deceleration parameter, depends only on the monopole distribution — it depends only on functions of t and r [i.e. $M(r)$, $k(r)$ and $\Phi(t,r)$], and not on ζ and $\bar{\zeta}$ [the dependence on $\mathcal{E}(t,r,\bar{\zeta},\zeta)$) vanishes]. Moreover, $\langle\rho\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$, and $\langle\mathcal{R}\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$ are literally of the same form as if initially $\mathcal{E}'$ is set to zero (the LT case).

5 Conclusions

In this paper the volume averaging within the quasispherical Szekeres model has been investigated. The Szekeres model is a generalisation of the LT model. The density distribution in the quasispherical Szekeres model has the structure of a time-dependent mass dipole superposed on a monopole. When calculating the volume acceleration ($\ddot{a}$ to be more exact) or volume deceleration parameter (q) within the quasispherical Szekeres, the dipole does not contribute to the final result and $\ddot{a}$ only depends on a monopole configuration. The solutions are the same if initially $\mathcal{E}'$ was set to zero, thus the results and conclusions found when studying averaging within the LT models also apply to the Szekeres models. For example, we can, without any further calculations, conclude that $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}} = 0$ when $k = 0$. This is an implication of the result obtained by Paranjape and Singh [6] who showed that in the parabolic ($k = 0$) LT model the backreaction term, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$, vanishes. Another result obtained within the LT models which, as has been shown, also appears to apply to the Szekeres models is that $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}} > 0$ is only possible for unbounded systems, $k < 0$ [9]. However, as shown in [10], in most cases this also requires that the bang time function, t_B, is of amplitude of 10^{10} y.

Acknowledgements This research has been supported by The Peter and Patricia Gruber Foundation and the International Astronomical Union. I thank Paulina Wojciechowska, Catherine Buchanan and Henk van Elst and the referees for their useful comments and suggestions.

References

1. Buchert, T.: Gen. Rel. Grav. **32**, 105 (s2000)
2. Buchert, T., Larena, J., Alimi J.-M.: Class. Q. Grav. **23**, 6379 (2006)
3. Räsänen, S.: J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **11**, 003 (2006)
4. Buchert, T.: Gen. Rel. Grav. **40**, 467 (2008)
5. Nambu, Y., Tanimoto, M.: arXiv:gr-qc/0507057 (2005)
6. Paranjape, A., Singh, T.P.: Class. Quant. Grav. **23**, 6955 (2006)
7. Kai, T., Kozaki, H., Nakao, K., Nambu, Y., Yoo, C.M.: Prog. Theor. Phys. **117**, 229 (2007)
8. Chuang, C.H., Gu J.A., Hwang W.Y.P.: Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 175001 (2008)
9. Sussman, R.A.: arXiv:0807.1145 (2008)
10. Bolejko, K., Andersson, L.: J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **10**, 003 (2008) arXiv:0807.3577 (2008)
11. Khosravi, S., Kourkchi, E., Mansouri, R.: arXiv:0709.2558, (2007)
12. Räsänen, S.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D15**, 2141 (2006)
13. Wiltshire, D.L.: New J. Phys. **9**, 377 (2007)
14. Wiltshire, D.L.: Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 251101 (2007)
15. Leith, B.M., Ng, S.C.C., Wiltshire, D.L.: Astrophys. J. **672**, L91 (2008)
16. Krasiński, A.: Inhomogeneous Cosmological Models. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997)
17. Szekeres, P.: Commun. Math. Phys. **41**, 55 (1975)
18. Lemaître, G.: Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles **A53**, 51 (1933); reprinted in Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **29**, 641 (1997)
19. Tolman R.C.: Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **20**, 169 (1934); reprinted in Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **29**, 935 (1997)
20. Bonnor, W.B., Sulaiman, A.H., Tomimura, N.: Gen. Rel. Grav. **8**, 549 (1977)
21. Bolejko, K.: Phys. Rev. **D73**, 123508 (2006)
22. Bolejko, K.: Phys. Rev. **D75**, 043508 (2007)
23. Hellaby, C., Krasiński, A.: Phys. Rev. **D77**, 023529 (2008)
24. Krasiński, A.: arXiv:0805.0529 (2008)
25. Hellaby, C., Krasiński, A.: Phys. Rev. **D66**, 084011 (2002)
26. Szafron, D.A.: J. Math. Phys. **18**, 1673 (1977)
27. Barrow, J.D., Stein-Schabes, J.A.: Phys. Lett. **A103**, 315 (1984)
28. Szekeres, P.: Phys. Rev. **D12**, 2941 (1975)
29. de Souza, M.M.: Revista Brasileira de Física **12**, 379 (1985)
30. Plebański, J., Krasiński, A.: An introduction to general relativity and cosmology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006)